| Number | Question | Answer |
|--------|--|--|
| | For this solicitation, regarding the proposed | |
| | volume or amount of chemical to be | |
| | manufactured for the prototype solutions, what | The quantity will depend on the chemical. If |
| | are the requirements or guidelines on amount of | selected for award, the quantity will be |
| | material to make as part of the proposed work? 1 | negotiated and included in the Project |
| 1 | or 10 or 100 or 1000 kg? | Execution Plan. |
| | , and the second | |
| | | |
| | | The government does not anticipate making |
| | Will this list continue to be evaluated and | any updates to the Problem Statement or |
| 2 | updated in future? | Attachment A before the RWP closes. |
| | | |
| | Is there an expectation that applicants will | Per the Problem Statement, the resulting |
| | already have met a minimum TRL? | prototype must meet the criterial for TRL 6 or |
| 3 | , | above. |
| | Do all team members (including any | |
| | subcontractors and consultants) need to be | Only the prime contractor needs to be a |
| 4 | members of the consortium? | member of the DIBC. |
| | Is there any other information other than | |
| 5 | volumes noted in Appendix "A"? | No. Appendix A only contains the volumes. |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | If selected for award, the proposer should |
| | | follow the guidance in Section 6 of the RWP. |
| | | Agreement types can be fixed price |
| 6 | Will payments be milestone-based? | (milestone based) or expenditure based. |
| | | |
| | | Dor the Droblem Statement, the individual |
| | NA/hat ia tha ammayimata tatal dallanyalya af all | Per the Problem Statement, the individual |
| 7 | What is the approximate total dollar value of all | project agreement funding anticipated is |
| 7 | anticipated awards? Can US EPA requirements be relaxed for | between \$1M and \$24M. |
| 8 | installation of new plants? | No. The EPA is their own entity. |
| 8 | mistanation of new plants: | No. The LEAB their own entity. |
| | | The timeline is based on multiple factors, |
| | | including the number of responses. The |
| | What is the approximate timeline after WP | evaluation team plans on completing |
| 9 | submittal to notification of acceptance? | evaluations within 3 months. |
| | Are Canadian, UK, and Australian companies able | evaluations within 5 months. |
| 10 | to respond? | Yes. |
| 10 | ico respondi | Cost share is not required if there is significant |
| | | non-traditional participation per the OTA |
| 11 | Is cost share required? | statute. |
| - 11 | is cost share required: | statute. |

| | | T |
|-----|--|--|
| 12 | Is there interest in critical materials that is not on | As included in the problem statement, precursors of chemicals on the list can also be proposed, provided a reasonable synthetic route that uses them is explained. Additional chemicals not listed can be included if the submitter believes it would be beneficial to |
| 12 | the list? Can we include downstream chemicals? | the government. |
| 12 | If selected, how much time is allowed for | Per the Problem Statement, the POP can be |
| 13 | completing the Statement of Work? Some of the listed chemicals are trade names | up to 5 years. |
| | | |
| 1.4 | from a specific manufacturer. Is intent to | Voc |
| 14 | reproduce exact same product? | Yes. |
| | Would DIBC/Government provide XYZ Company | No. the accommon and will not be a second in a CAC |
| 4.5 | with CAS numbers for the materials included on | No, the government will not be providing CAS |
| 15 | the list of chemicals? | numbers for the chemicals on the list. |
| | Charcoal MIL Specifications: The material must be charcoal prepared by the destructive distillation of alder, willow, or suitable hardwoods, or through an oven or kiln process. It should be of | |
| | the best composition, clean-burning, uniform, | |
| | and free from extraneous materials. When | |
| | specified in the contract (see 6.2), is charcoal | |
| | from a specific source required? Additionally, | |
| | there are four classes of charcoal, each with | Charcoal does not need to come from a |
| | different uses. Which class or classes are desired | specific source, but must be the one specified |
| | for this white paper, and in what annual | for use in black powder. Appendix A contains |
| 16 | quantities? | the annual volumes. |
| | Cost Capacity: If proposing the production of | |
| | multiple chemicals exceeds the \$24 million | |
| | capacity, should we submit multiple white papers, | |
| | each below the \$24 million limit? Or should we | |
| | submit one white paper listing all chemicals and | Per the Problem Statement, the individual |
| | associated costs, even if the total exceeds \$24 | project agreement funding anticipated is |
| 17 | million? | between \$1M and \$24M. |
| | Annual Quantities: Can the provided annual | No, the provided annual quantities should not |
| | quantities be considered a guaranteed or | be considered a guaranteed or minimum |
| 1.0 | minimum purchase amount from the USG for | purchase amount from the government for |
| 18 | each listed chemical? | each listed chemical. |
| | Design and Technology Preference: Will | |
| | preference be given to new designs and | Amountain and the decision of the control of the |
| | technology for producing the chemicals, or will | Any process that decreases the cost of the |
| | this be weighed against costs? For example, will a | final product and/or increases efficiency of |
| | new production line be preferred over an existing | manufacturing may be considered favorable, |
| 40 | one that has previously manufactured the | but does not guarantee an award. Evaluation |
| 19 | chemical? | criteria is specified in the RWP. |

| | Net Explosive Weights: Can the Net Explosive | |
|----|---|---|
| | Weights be relaxed by the USG for Department of | |
| | Defense Explosives Safety Board (DDESB) | This question should be directed to the |
| 20 | approval? | referenced safety board. |